



12-13-05

1/11/05

Date: December 12, 2005

From:

Donald H. Martin
107 Norwood Avenue
Asheville, NC 28804

To:

Ms. Ana L. Woodward
Examiner
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Subject: Communication of 10/24/05 re: Office Action:
Application No. 10/720,648

Dear Ms. Woodward:

Per the subject communication and my telephone interview with you on Tuesday, November 15, 2005, enclosed is an Amendment addressing the Claim Rejections described in the 10/24/05 communication.

I have also added two new claims dependent to the amended claim. In a telephone call to the PTO on 12/01/05 I was told that since I have only 3 claims no additional fees are required.

Please let me know if you need any further information from me at this time.

Thank you,

Donald H. Martin

Donald H. Martin
Applicant
TEL: 828-253-8061

This letter and enclosures sent on December 12, 2005 via U.S. Express Mail ER 692954965 US.



In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Serial Number: 10/720,648

Appn. Filed: 11/24/03

Applicant(s): Donald H. Martin

Appn. Title: **Rigid Ring Amino Acids and Polyamides There From**

Examiner/GAU: Ana L. Woodward/1711

Mailed: 12/12/05

At: Asheville, NC

Amendment B

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 10/24/05 please amend the above application as follows:

Claim Rejection under 35 USC p.102

The rejection cites that "Applicant's arguments filed August 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive." and furthermore "Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specifications are not read into the claims."

Pursuant to this rejection, claim 1 has been amended to recount in the claim the descriptions and limitations for Rigid Ring Amino Acids as detailed in the Specifications.

In addition two new claims dependent to the amended claim 1 have been added.

Please insert into the subject application the attached revised pages 16, 17, and 18 which include the amended claim 1, the new dependent claims 2 and 3 and the withdrawn original claims 2-19.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, the applicant submits that the specification and claims are now in proper form and that the claims define patentability over the prior art. Therefore he submits that this application is now in condition for allowance which action he respectfully solicits.

Respectfully submitted

Donald H. Martin

Donald H. Martin

107 Norwood Ave.

Asheville, NC 29904

TEL: 828-253-8061

Date:

December 12, 2005